

Differential lifts and differential symmetries

Alexandru Buium

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of New Mexico
buium@math.unm.edu

December 10, 2012

Moral of talk

Moral of talk

Algebraic geometry in characteristic p

Moral of talk

Algebraic geometry in characteristic zero

↑ “wrong” lifts

Algebraic geometry in characteristic p

Moral of talk

Algebraic geometry in characteristic zero

↑ “wrong” lifts

Algebraic geometry in characteristic p

↓ “correct” lifts

δ -geometry (characteristic zero)

Moral of talk

Algebraic geometry in characteristic zero

↑ “wrong” lifts

Algebraic geometry in characteristic p

↓ “correct” lifts

δ -geometry (characteristic zero)

↓ using lifts as central fibers

δ -arithmetic deformation theory ?

Plan of talk

Plan of talk

Review of δ -geometry

Plan of talk

Review of δ -geometry

Review of δ -symmetry

Plan of talk

Review of δ -geometry

Review of δ -symmetry

6 examples in search of a general theory

δ

δ

Define $R = W(\mathbb{F}_p^a) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur} = \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_N; (N, p) = 1]^\wedge$, $k = R/pR = \mathbb{F}_p^a$

δ

Define $R = W(\mathbb{F}_p^a) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur} = \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_N; (N, p) = 1]^\wedge$, $k = R/pR = \mathbb{F}_p^a$

where upperscript \wedge means p -adic completion

δ

Define $R = W(\mathbb{F}_p^a) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur} = \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_N; (N, p) = 1]^\wedge$, $k = R/pR = \mathbb{F}_p^a$

where upperscript \wedge means p -adic completion

Recall $\phi : R \rightarrow R$ unique ring homomorphism with $\phi(x) \equiv x^p \pmod{p}$

δ

Define $R = W(\mathbb{F}_p^a) = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p^{ur}} = \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_N; (N, p) = 1]^\wedge$, $k = R/pR = \mathbb{F}_p^a$

where upperscript \wedge means p -adic completion

Recall $\phi : R \rightarrow R$ unique ring homomorphism with $\phi(x) \equiv x^p \pmod{p}$

Define $\delta : R \rightarrow R$, $\delta x = \frac{\phi(x) - x^p}{p}$ Fermat quotient operator

δ

Define $R = W(\mathbb{F}_p^a) = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p^{ur}} = \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_N; (N, p) = 1]^\wedge$, $k = R/pR = \mathbb{F}_p^a$

where upperscript \wedge means p -adic completion

Recall $\phi : R \rightarrow R$ unique ring homomorphism with $\phi(x) \equiv x^p \pmod{p}$

Define $\delta : R \rightarrow R$, $\delta x = \frac{\phi(x) - x^p}{p}$ Fermat quotient operator

Morally R is the analogue of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) = \{x = x(t) \text{ smooth, } x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$

δ

Define $R = W(\mathbb{F}_p^a) = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur} = \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_N; (N, p) = 1]^\wedge$, $k = R/pR = \mathbb{F}_p^a$

where upperscript \wedge means p -adic completion

Recall $\phi : R \rightarrow R$ unique ring homomorphism with $\phi(x) \equiv x^p \pmod{p}$

Define $\delta : R \rightarrow R$, $\delta x = \frac{\phi(x) - x^p}{p}$ Fermat quotient operator

Morally R is the analogue of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) = \{x = x(t) \text{ smooth, } x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$

Morally $\delta = \frac{d}{dp}$ is the analogue of $\frac{d}{dt}$

δ

Define $R = W(\mathbb{F}_p^a) = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur} = \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_N; (N, p) = 1]^\wedge$, $k = R/pR = \mathbb{F}_p^a$

where upperscript \wedge means p -adic completion

Recall $\phi : R \rightarrow R$ unique ring homomorphism with $\phi(x) \equiv x^p \pmod{p}$

Define $\delta : R \rightarrow R$, $\delta x = \frac{\phi(x) - x^p}{p}$ Fermat quotient operator

Morally R is the analogue of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) = \{x = x(t) \text{ smooth, } x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$

Morally $\delta = \frac{d}{dp}$ is the analogue of $\frac{d}{dt}$

Example: $p = 7$; $\delta 5 = \frac{d5}{d7} = \frac{5-5^7}{7}$

δ

Define $R = W(\mathbb{F}_p^a) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur} = \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_N; (N, p) = 1]^\wedge$, $k = R/pR = \mathbb{F}_p^a$

where upperscript \wedge means p -adic completion

Recall $\phi : R \rightarrow R$ unique ring homomorphism with $\phi(x) \equiv x^p \pmod{p}$

Define $\delta : R \rightarrow R$, $\delta x = \frac{\phi(x) - x^p}{p}$ Fermat quotient operator

Morally R is the analogue of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) = \{x = x(t) \text{ smooth, } x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$

Morally $\delta = \frac{d}{dp}$ is the analogue of $\frac{d}{dt}$

Example: $p = 7$; $\delta 5 = \frac{d5}{d7} = \frac{5 - 5^7}{7}$

More generally δ -ring: a ring A with $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying axioms of the above δ ; for A torsion free this means $\phi(x) = x^p + p\delta x$ is a ring homomorphism.

δ -functions

δ -functions

For X smooth scheme over R

δ -functions

For X smooth scheme over R

Say $f : X(R) \rightarrow R$ is a δ -function of order r if for any point in $X(R)$ there exist

δ -functions

For X smooth scheme over R

Say $f : X(R) \rightarrow R$ is a δ -function of order r if for any point in $X(R)$ there exist

1) an affine neighborhood $U \subset X$

δ -functions

For X smooth scheme over R

Say $f : X(R) \rightarrow R$ is a δ -function of order r if for any point in $X(R)$ there exist

- 1) an affine neighborhood $U \subset X$
- 2) an embedding $U \subset \mathbb{A}^N$

δ -functions

For X smooth scheme over R

Say $f : X(R) \rightarrow R$ is a δ -function of order r if for any point in $X(R)$ there exist

- 1) an affine neighborhood $U \subset X$
- 2) an embedding $U \subset \mathbb{A}^N$
- 3) a restricted power series $F \in R[T, T', \dots, T^{(n)}]^\wedge$ such that

δ -functions

For X smooth scheme over R

Say $f : X(R) \rightarrow R$ is a δ -function of order r if for any point in $X(R)$ there exist

- 1) an affine neighborhood $U \subset X$
- 2) an embedding $U \subset \mathbb{A}^N$
- 3) a restricted power series $F \in R[T, T', \dots, T^{(n)}]^\wedge$ such that $f(x) = F(x, \delta x, \dots, \delta^n x)$, $x \in U(R) \subset R^N$

δ -functions

For X smooth scheme over R

Say $f : X(R) \rightarrow R$ is a δ -function of order r if for any point in $X(R)$ there exist

- 1) an affine neighborhood $U \subset X$
- 2) an embedding $U \subset \mathbb{A}^N$
- 3) a restricted power series $F \in R[T, T', \dots, T^{(n)}]^\wedge$ such that
 $f(x) = F(x, \delta x, \dots, \delta^n x), \quad x \in U(R) \subset R^N$

Denote $\mathcal{O}^n(X)$ ring of δ -functions of order n , $\mathcal{O}^\infty(X) = \cup \mathcal{O}^n(X)$

δ -functions

For X smooth scheme over R

Say $f : X(R) \rightarrow R$ is a δ -function of order r if for any point in $X(R)$ there exist

- 1) an affine neighborhood $U \subset X$
- 2) an embedding $U \subset \mathbb{A}^N$
- 3) a restricted power series $F \in R[T, T', \dots, T^{(n)}]^\wedge$ such that
 $f(x) = F(x, \delta x, \dots, \delta^n x), \quad x \in U(R) \subset R^N$

Denote $\mathcal{O}^n(X)$ ring of δ -functions of order n , $\mathcal{O}^\infty(X) = \cup \mathcal{O}^n(X)$

δ -functions are arithmetic analogues of differential functions (Lagrangians)

δ -functions

For X smooth scheme over R

Say $f : X(R) \rightarrow R$ is a δ -function of order r if for any point in $X(R)$ there exist

- 1) an affine neighborhood $U \subset X$
- 2) an embedding $U \subset \mathbb{A}^N$
- 3) a restricted power series $F \in R[T, T', \dots, T^{(n)}]^\wedge$ such that
 $f(x) = F(x, \delta x, \dots, \delta^n x), \quad x \in U(R) \subset R^N$

Denote $\mathcal{O}^n(X)$ ring of δ -functions of order n , $\mathcal{O}^\infty(X) = \cup \mathcal{O}^n(X)$

δ -functions are arithmetic analogues of differential functions (Lagrangians)

Example $f : \mathbb{A}^1(R) = R \rightarrow R, f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} p^n x^n (\delta x)^{n^3} (\delta^2 x)^{n^n}$, δ -function of order 2

δ -Galois groups

δ -Galois groups

$A \subset B$ extension of torsion free δ -rings, $pB \cap A = pA$

δ -Galois groups

$A \subset B$ extension of torsion free δ -rings, $pB \cap A = pA$

$\rho : \text{Aut}_\delta(B/A) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\overline{B}/\overline{A})$, $\overline{A} = A/pA$, etc.

δ -Galois groups

$A \subset B$ extension of torsion free δ -rings, $pB \cap A = pA$

$\rho : \text{Aut}_\delta(B/A) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\overline{B}/\overline{A})$, $\overline{A} = A/pA$, etc.

Γ a profinite group

δ -Galois groups

$A \subset B$ extension of torsion free δ -rings, $pB \cap A = pA$

$\rho : \text{Aut}_\delta(B/A) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\overline{B}/\overline{A})$, $\overline{A} = A/pA$, etc.

Γ a profinite group

$A \subset B$ a $\Gamma - \delta$ -extension if

δ -Galois groups

$A \subset B$ extension of torsion free δ -rings, $pB \cap A = pA$

$\rho : \text{Aut}_\delta(B/A) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\overline{B}/\overline{A})$, $\overline{A} = A/pA$, etc.

Γ a profinite group

$A \subset B$ a Γ - δ -extension if

1) $\Gamma \cong \text{Aut}_\delta(B/A)$

δ -Galois groups

$A \subset B$ extension of torsion free δ -rings, $pB \cap A = pA$

$\rho : \text{Aut}_\delta(B/A) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\overline{B}/\overline{A})$, $\overline{A} = A/pA$, etc.

Γ a profinite group

$A \subset B$ a Γ - δ -extension if

1) $\Gamma \cong \text{Aut}_\delta(B/A)$

2) ρ isomorphism

δ -Galois groups

$A \subset B$ extension of torsion free δ -rings, $pB \cap A = pA$

$\rho : \text{Aut}_\delta(B/A) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\overline{B}/\overline{A})$, $\overline{A} = A/pA$, etc.

Γ a profinite group

$A \subset B$ a $\Gamma - \delta$ -extension if

- 1) $\Gamma \cong \text{Aut}_\delta(B/A)$
- 2) ρ isomorphism
- 3) $\overline{B}/\overline{A}$ a Γ -extension (in the usual sense)

Example 1: u_m and u_{PGL_2}

Example 1: u_m and u_{PGL_2}

Theorem (B, AMS book 05)

Example 1: u_m and u_{PGL_2}

Theorem (B, AMS book 05)

Let $B = \widehat{\mathcal{O}^\infty(\mathbb{A}^1)}$, $u \in B$, $u^* : B \rightarrow B$, $A = u^*(B)$.

Example 1: u_m and u_{PGL_2}

Theorem (B, AMS book 05)

Let $B = \widehat{\mathcal{O}^\infty(\mathbb{A}^1)}$, $u \in B$, $u^* : B \rightarrow B$, $A = u^*(B)$.

1. If $u = u_m = \phi(x)/x$ then B/A is a $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times - \delta$ -extension.

Example 1: u_m and u_{PGL_2}

Theorem (B, AMS book 05)

Let $B = \widehat{\mathcal{O}^\infty(\mathbb{A}^1)}$, $u \in B$, $u^* : B \rightarrow B$, $A = u^*(B)$.

1. If $u = u_m = \phi(x)/x$ then B/A is a $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times - \delta$ -extension.

1. If $u = u_{PGL_2} = \frac{(\phi^3(x) - \phi(x))(\phi^2(x) - x)}{(\phi^3(x) - \phi^2(x))(\phi(x) - x)}$ then B/A is a $PGL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) - \delta$ -extension.

Example 1: u_m and u_{PGL_2}

Theorem (B, AMS book 05)

Let $B = \widehat{\mathcal{O}^\infty(\mathbb{A}^1)}$, $u \in B$, $u^* : B \rightarrow B$, $A = u^*(B)$.

1. If $u = u_m = \phi(x)/x$ then B/A is a $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times - \delta$ -extension.

1. If $u = u_{PGL_2} = \frac{(\phi^3(x) - \phi(x))(\phi^2(x) - x)}{(\phi^3(x) - \phi^2(x))(\phi(x) - x)}$ then B/A is a $PGL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) - \delta$ -extension.

Remark

Example 1: u_m and u_{PGL_2}

Theorem (B, AMS book 05)

Let $B = \widehat{\mathcal{O}^\infty(\mathbb{A}^1)}$, $u \in B$, $u^* : B \rightarrow B$, $A = u^*(B)$.

1. If $u = u_m = \phi(x)/x$ then B/A is a $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times - \delta$ -extension.
1. If $u = u_{PGL_2} = \frac{(\phi^3(x) - \phi(x))(\phi^2(x) - x)}{(\phi^3(x) - \phi^2(x))(\phi(x) - x)}$ then B/A is a $PGL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) - \delta$ -extension.

Remark

The above are lifts to characteristic zero in δ -geometry of the obvious facts:

Example 1: u_m and u_{PGL_2}

Theorem (B, AMS book 05)

Let $B = \widehat{\mathcal{O}^\infty(\mathbb{A}^1)}$, $u \in B$, $u^* : B \rightarrow B$, $A = u^*(B)$.

1. If $u = u_m = \phi(x)/x$ then B/A is a $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times - \delta$ -extension.
1. If $u = u_{PGL_2} = \frac{(\phi^3(x) - \phi(x))(\phi^2(x) - x)}{(\phi^3(x) - \phi^2(x))(\phi(x) - x)}$ then B/A is a $PGL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) - \delta$ -extension.

Remark

The above are lifts to characteristic zero in δ -geometry of the obvious facts:

1. If $\bar{u} = x^{p-1}$ then $k(x)/k(\bar{u})$ is a \mathbb{F}_p^\times -extension.

Example 1: u_m and u_{PGL_2}

Theorem (B, AMS book 05)

Let $B = \widehat{\mathcal{O}^\infty(\mathbb{A}^1)}$, $u \in B$, $u^* : B \rightarrow B$, $A = u^*(B)$.

1. If $u = u_m = \phi(x)/x$ then B/A is a $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times - \delta$ -extension.
1. If $u = u_{PGL_2} = \frac{(\phi^3(x) - \phi(x))(\phi^2(x) - x)}{(\phi^3(x) - \phi^2(x))(\phi(x) - x)}$ then B/A is a $PGL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) - \delta$ -extension.

Remark

The above are lifts to characteristic zero in δ -geometry of the obvious facts:

1. If $\bar{u} = x^{p-1}$ then $k(x)/k(\bar{u})$ is a $\mathbb{F}_p^\times - \delta$ -extension.
1. If $\bar{u} = \frac{(x^{p^3} - x^p)(x^{p^2} - x)}{(x^{p^3} - x^{p^2})(x^p - x)}$ then $k(x)/k(\bar{u})$ is a $PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_p) - \delta$ -extension.

Example 2: ψ

Example 2: ψ

Theorem (B, Inventiones 95)

Example 2: ψ

Theorem (B, Inventiones 95)

A/R abelian scheme of dimension g . There exists linearly independent δ -functions $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_g \in \mathcal{O}^2(A)$ which are group homomorphisms $A(R) \rightarrow R$.

Example 2: ψ

Theorem (B, Inventiones 95)

A/R abelian scheme of dimension g . There exists linearly independent δ -functions $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_g \in \mathcal{O}^2(A)$ which are group homomorphisms $A(R) \rightarrow R$.

Remark

Example 2: ψ

Theorem (B, Inventiones 95)

A/R abelian scheme of dimension g . There exists linearly independent δ -functions $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_g \in \mathcal{O}^2(A)$ which are group homomorphisms $A(R) \rightarrow R$.

Remark

ψ_i an arithmetic analogue of the Manin maps $A(K) \rightarrow K$ for A/K abelian variety over a function field K

Example 2: ψ

Theorem (B, Inventiones 95)

A/R abelian scheme of dimension g . There exists linearly independent δ -functions $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_g \in \mathcal{O}^2(A)$ which are group homomorphisms $A(R) \rightarrow R$.

Remark

ψ_i an arithmetic analogue of the Manin maps $A(K) \rightarrow K$ for A/K abelian variety over a function field K

Remarks

Example 2: ψ

Theorem (B, Inventiones 95)

A/R abelian scheme of dimension g . There exists linearly independent δ -functions $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_g \in \mathcal{O}^2(A)$ which are group homomorphisms $A(R) \rightarrow R$.

Remark

ψ_i an arithmetic analogue of the Manin maps $A(K) \rightarrow K$ for A/K abelian variety over a function field K

Remarks

The above Theorem should be viewed as a lift to characteristic zero in δ -geometry of the fact that the first Greenberg transform $Gr^1(A)$ of A is, up to isogeny, a product of $A \bmod p$ with a vector group; note the shift from 1 to 2!

Example 2: ψ

Theorem (B, Inventiones 95)

A/R abelian scheme of dimension g . There exists linearly independent δ -functions $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_g \in \mathcal{O}^2(A)$ which are group homomorphisms $A(R) \rightarrow R$.

Remark

ψ_i : an arithmetic analogue of the Manin maps $A(K) \rightarrow K$ for A/K abelian variety over a function field K

Remarks

The above Theorem should be viewed as a lift to characteristic zero in δ -geometry of the fact that the first Greenberg transform $Gr^1(A)$ of A is, up to isogeny, a product of $A \bmod p$ with a vector group; note the shift from 1 to 2!

The homomorphism property should be viewed as an “extra-symmetry” of the function ψ

δ -modular forms

δ -modular forms

$X \subset X_1(N)$, $N > 4$, X affine, disjoint from cusps and supersingular locus

δ -modular forms

$X \subset X_1(N)$, $N > 4$, X affine, disjoint from cusps and supersingular locus

L line bundle on $X_1(N)$ whose m -power has sections modular forms of weight m

δ -modular forms

$X \subset X_1(N)$, $N > 4$, X affine, disjoint from cusps and supersingular locus

L line bundle on $X_1(N)$ whose m -power has sections modular forms of weight m

$V^* = \text{Spec}(\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L_X^m)$ physical line bundle on X minus zero section

δ -modular forms

$X \subset X_1(N)$, $N > 4$, X affine, disjoint from cusps and supersingular locus

L line bundle on $X_1(N)$ whose m -power has sections modular forms of weight m

$V^* = \text{Spec}(\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L_X^m)$ physical line bundle on X minus zero section

$M^n = \mathcal{O}^n(V^*)$ ring of δ -modular functions of order n

δ -modular forms

$X \subset X_1(N)$, $N > 4$, X affine, disjoint from cusps and supersingular locus

L line bundle on $X_1(N)$ whose m -power has sections modular forms of weight m

$V^* = \text{Spec}(\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L_X^m)$ physical line bundle on X minus zero section

$M^n = \mathcal{O}^n(V^*)$ ring of δ -modular functions of order n

$M^n(w)$ space of δ -modular forms of weight $w = \sum a_i \phi^i \in W$:

δ -modular forms

$X \subset X_1(N)$, $N > 4$, X affine, disjoint from cusps and supersingular locus

L line bundle on $X_1(N)$ whose m -power has sections modular forms of weight m

$V^* = \text{Spec}(\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L_X^m)$ physical line bundle on X minus zero section

$M^n = \mathcal{O}^n(V^*)$ ring of δ -modular functions of order n

$M^n(w)$ space of δ -modular forms of weight $w = \sum a_i \phi^i \in W$:

$f(\lambda \cdot P) = \lambda^w f(P)$, $\lambda \in R^\times$.

δ -modular forms

$X \subset X_1(N)$, $N > 4$, X affine, disjoint from cusps and supersingular locus

L line bundle on $X_1(N)$ whose m -power has sections modular forms of weight m

$V^* = \text{Spec}(\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L_X^m)$ physical line bundle on X minus zero section

$M^n = \mathcal{O}^n(V^*)$ ring of δ -modular functions of order n

$M^n(w)$ space of δ -modular forms of weight $w = \sum a_i \phi^i \in W$:

$f(\lambda \cdot P) = \lambda^w f(P)$, $\lambda \in R^\times$.

$M^n \rightarrow R((q))[q', \dots, q^{(n)}]^\wedge$ δ -Fourier map

δ -modular forms

$X \subset X_1(N)$, $N > 4$, X affine, disjoint from cusps and supersingular locus

L line bundle on $X_1(N)$ whose m -power has sections modular forms of weight m

$V^* = \text{Spec}(\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L_X^m)$ physical line bundle on X minus zero section

$M^n = \mathcal{O}^n(V^*)$ ring of δ -modular functions of order n

$M^n(w)$ space of δ -modular forms of weight $w = \sum a_i \phi^i \in W$:

$f(\lambda \cdot P) = \lambda^w f(P)$, $\lambda \in R^\times$.

$M^n \rightarrow R((q))[q', \dots, q^{(n)}]^\wedge$ δ -Fourier map

(not injective but injective on each $M^n(w)$)

δ -modular forms

$X \subset X_1(N)$, $N > 4$, X affine, disjoint from cusps and supersingular locus

L line bundle on $X_1(N)$ whose m -power has sections modular forms of weight m

$V^* = \text{Spec}(\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L_X^m)$ physical line bundle on X minus zero section

$M^n = \mathcal{O}^n(V^*)$ ring of δ -modular functions of order n

$M^n(w)$ space of δ -modular forms of weight $w = \sum a_i \phi^i \in W$:

$f(\lambda \cdot P) = \lambda^w f(P)$, $\lambda \in R^\times$.

$M^n \rightarrow R((q))[q', \dots, q^{(n)}]^\wedge$ δ -Fourier map

(not injective but injective on each $M^n(w)$)

$M^\infty = \bigcup M^n$, $R((q))^\infty = \bigcup R((q))[q', \dots, q^{(n)}]^\wedge$

δ -modular forms

$X \subset X_1(N)$, $N > 4$, X affine, disjoint from cusps and supersingular locus

L line bundle on $X_1(N)$ whose m -power has sections modular forms of weight m

$V^* = \text{Spec}(\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L_X^m)$ physical line bundle on X minus zero section

$M^n = \mathcal{O}^n(V^*)$ ring of δ -modular functions of order n

$M^n(w)$ space of δ -modular forms of weight $w = \sum a_i \phi^i \in W$:

$f(\lambda \cdot P) = \lambda^w f(P)$, $\lambda \in R^\times$.

$M^n \rightarrow R((q))[q', \dots, q^{(n)}]^\wedge$ δ -Fourier map

(not injective but injective on each $M^n(w)$)

$M^\infty = \bigcup M^n$, $R((q))^\infty = \bigcup R((q))[q', \dots, q^{(n)}]^\wedge$

$I^n(w) \subset M^n(w)$ space of isogeny covariant forms ("Hecke semi-invariant")

δ -symmetric series

δ -symmetric series

A series $f \in R((q))[q', \dots, q^{(n)}]^\wedge$ is called $\delta - p$ -symmetric if there exists a series

δ -symmetric series

A series $f \in R((q))[q', \dots, q^{(n)}]^\wedge$ is called $\delta - p$ -symmetric if there exists a series $F \in R[[q_1, \dots, q_p]][(q_1 \dots q_p)^{-1}][q'_1, \dots, q'_p, \dots, q_1^{(n)}, \dots, q_p^{(n)}]^\wedge$ such that

δ -symmetric series

A series $f \in R((q))[q', \dots, q^{(n)}]^\wedge$ is called $\delta - p$ -symmetric if there exists a series

$F \in R[[q_1, \dots, q_p]][(q_1 \dots q_p)^{-1}][q'_1, \dots, q'_p, \dots, q_1^{(n)}, \dots, q_p^{(n)}]^\wedge$ such that

$$f(q_1, \dots, q_1^{(n)}) + \dots + f(q_p, \dots, q_p^{(n)}) = F(s_1, \dots, s_p, \dots, \delta^n(s_1), \dots, \delta^n(s_p))$$

δ -symmetric series

A series $f \in R((q))[q', \dots, q^{(n)}]^\wedge$ is called $\delta - p$ -symmetric if there exists a series

$F \in R[[q_1, \dots, q_p]][(q_1 \dots q_p)^{-1}][q'_1, \dots, q'_p, \dots, q_1^{(n)}, \dots, q_p^{(n)}]^\wedge$ such that

$$f(q_1, \dots, q_1^{(n)}) + \dots + f(q_p, \dots, q_p^{(n)}) = F(s_1, \dots, s_p, \dots, \delta^n(s_1), \dots, \delta^n(s_p))$$

where $s_1 = \sum_i q_i$, $s_2 = \sum_{i < j} q_i q_j$, ..., $s_p = q_1 \dots q_p$.

Example 3: f^1 and f^∂

Example 3: f^1 and f^∂

Theorem (B: Crelle 2000, Barcau: Compositio 2003, B+Saha: JNT 2012)

Example 3: f^1 and f^∂

Theorem (B: Crelle 2000, Barcau: Compositio 2003, B+Saha: JNT 2012)

1. There exists $f^1 \in M^1(-1 - \phi)$ with $\delta - p$ -symmetric expansion
 $\frac{1}{p} \log \left(1 + p \frac{q'}{q^p} \right)$

Example 3: f^1 and f^∂

Theorem (B: Crelle 2000, Barcau: Compositio 2003, B+Saha: JNT 2012)

1. There exists $f^1 \in M^1(-1 - \phi)$ with $\delta - p$ -symmetric expansion $\frac{1}{p} \log \left(1 + p \frac{q'}{q^p} \right)$
2. There exists $f^\partial \in M^1(\phi - 1)$ with δ -Fourier expansion 1

Example 3: f^1 and f^∂

Theorem (B: Crelle 2000, Barcau: Compositio 2003, B+Saha: JNT 2012)

1. There exists $f^1 \in M^1(-1 - \phi)$ with $\delta - p$ -symmetric expansion $\frac{1}{p} \log \left(1 + p \frac{q'}{q^p} \right)$
2. There exists $f^\partial \in M^1(\phi - 1)$ with δ -Fourier expansion 1
3. f^1 and f^∂ “ δ -generate” all $I^n(w)$.

Example 3: f^1 and f^∂

Theorem (B: Crelle 2000, Barcau: Compositio 2003, B+Saha: JNT 2012)

1. There exists $f^1 \in M^1(-1 - \phi)$ with $\delta - p$ -symmetric expansion $\frac{1}{p} \log \left(1 + p \frac{q'}{q^p} \right)$
2. There exists $f^\partial \in M^1(\phi - 1)$ with δ -Fourier expansion 1
3. f^1 and f^∂ “ δ -generate” all $I^n(w)$.
4. $f^\partial - 1$ “ δ -generates” $\text{Ker}(M^\infty \rightarrow R((q))^\infty)$

Example 3: f^1 and f^∂

Theorem (B: Crelle 2000, Barcau: Compositio 2003, B+Saha: JNT 2012)

1. There exists $f^1 \in M^1(-1 - \phi)$ with $\delta - p$ -symmetric expansion $\frac{1}{p} \log \left(1 + p \frac{q'}{q^p} \right)$
2. There exists $f^\partial \in M^1(\phi - 1)$ with δ -Fourier expansion 1
3. f^1 and f^∂ “ δ -generate” all $I^n(w)$.
4. $f^\partial - 1$ “ δ -generates” $\text{Ker}(M^\infty \rightarrow R((q))^\infty)$
5. f^1 and $f^\partial - 1$ “ δ -generate” $\text{Ker}(M^\infty \rightarrow R((q))^\wedge)$

Example 3, continued

Example 3, continued

Remarks

Example 3, continued

Remarks

1. f^1 above can be viewed as a lift to characteristic zero in δ -geometry of the Kodaira-Spencer class $\frac{2AdB-3BdA}{\Delta}$ of the universal family of elliptic curves $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$; the reduction mod p of f^1 is $H\frac{2AdB-3BdA}{\Delta} +$ (order zero terms) where H is the Hasse invariant.

Example 3, continued

Remarks

1. f^1 above can be viewed as a lift to characteristic zero in δ -geometry of the Kodaira-Spencer class $\frac{2AdB-3BdA}{\Delta}$ of the universal family of elliptic curves $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$; the reduction mod p of f^1 is $H\frac{2AdB-3BdA}{\Delta} +$ (order zero terms) where H is the Hasse invariant.
2. f^∂ mod p is H so f^∂ can be viewed as a lift to characteristic zero in δ -geometry of H ; the lift E_{p-1} should be viewed as a wrong lift because it is not isogeny covariant.

Example 3, continued

Remarks

1. f^1 above can be viewed as a lift to characteristic zero in δ -geometry of the Kodaira-Spencer class $\frac{2AdB-3BdA}{\Delta}$ of the universal family of elliptic curves $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$; the reduction mod p of f^1 is $H\frac{2AdB-3BdA}{\Delta} +$ (order zero terms) where H is the Hasse invariant.
2. f^∂ mod p is H so f^∂ can be viewed as a lift to characteristic zero in δ -geometry of H ; the lift E_{p-1} should be viewed as a wrong lift because it is not isogeny covariant.
3. 6 and 7 above can be viewed as lifts to characteristic zero in δ -geometry of the Serre-Swinnerton Dyer theorem on the kernel of the Fourier expansion map $\overline{M} \rightarrow k((q))$ in characteristic p .

Example 4: δ -Igusa curve

Example 4: δ -Igusa curve

Theorem (B+Saha: JNT 2012)

Example 4: δ -Igusa curve

Theorem (B+Saha: JNT 2012)

If $S_{\heartsuit}^{\infty} = \text{Im}(M^{\infty} \rightarrow R((q))^{\infty})$ and $S^{\infty} := \cup \mathcal{O}^n(X)$ then $\widehat{S}^{\infty} \subset \widehat{S}_{\heartsuit}^{\infty}$ is a \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} - δ -extension

Example 4: δ -Igusa curve

Theorem (B+Saha: JNT 2012)

If $S_{\heartsuit}^{\infty} = \text{Im}(M^{\infty} \rightarrow R((q))^{\infty})$ and $S^{\infty} := \cup \mathcal{O}^n(X)$ then $\widehat{S}^{\infty} \subset \widehat{S}_{\heartsuit}^{\infty}$ is a \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} - δ -extension

Remark

Example 4: δ -Igusa curve

Theorem (B+Saha: JNT 2012)

If $S_{\heartsuit}^{\infty} = \text{Im}(M^{\infty} \rightarrow R((q))^{\infty})$ and $S^{\infty} := \cup \mathcal{O}^n(X)$ then $\widehat{S}^{\infty} \subset \widehat{S}_{\heartsuit}^{\infty}$ is a \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} - δ -extension

Remark

The theorem above can be viewed a lift to characteristic zero in δ -geometry of the fact that the Igusa curve $I_1(N)_{/\mathbb{F}_p}$ in characteristic p is a \mathbb{F}_p^{\times} -cover of the modular curve $X_1(N)_{/\mathbb{F}_p}$. $I_1(N)_{/\mathbb{F}_p}$ has another lift to characteristic zero in usual algebraic geometry; that lift is a \mathbb{F}_p^{\times} -cover of the modular curve $X_1(N)_{/\mathbb{Z}_p}$ and should be viewed as the wrong one because the symmetry group is too small

Example 5: f^\sharp

Example 5: f^\sharp

Theorem (B: JNT 2008, B+Poonen, Compostio 2009)

Example 5: f^\sharp

Theorem (B: JNT 2008, B+Poonen, Compositio 2009)

If $f = \sum a_n q^n$ is a new form of weight 2 over \mathbb{Z} then the form $f^\sharp \in M^2(0)$ obtained by composing the Eichler-Shimura map $\Phi : X_1(N)(R) \rightarrow A_f(R)$ with the homomorphism $\psi : A_f(R) \rightarrow R$ has a δ -Fourier expansion that is $\delta - p$ -symmetric and that is congruent mod p to

Example 5: f^\sharp

Theorem (B: JNT 2008, B+Poonen, Compositio 2009)

If $f = \sum a_n q^n$ is a new form of weight 2 over \mathbb{Z} then the form $f^\sharp \in M^2(0)$ obtained by composing the Eichler-Shimura map $\Phi : X_1(N)(R) \rightarrow A_f(R)$ with the homomorphism $\psi : A_f(R) \rightarrow R$ has a δ -Fourier expansion that is $\delta - p$ -symmetric and that is congruent mod p to

$$\sum_{p|h} \frac{a_n}{n} q^n - a_p \left(\sum a_m q^{mp} \right) \frac{q'}{q^p} + \left(\sum a_m q^{mp^2} \right) \left(\frac{q'}{q^p} \right)^p$$

Example 5: f^\sharp

Theorem (B: JNT 2008, B+Poonen, Compositio 2009)

If $f = \sum a_n q^n$ is a new form of weight 2 over \mathbb{Z} then the form $f^\sharp \in M^2(0)$ obtained by composing the Eichler-Shimura map $\Phi : X_1(N)(R) \rightarrow A_f(R)$ with the homomorphism $\psi : A_f(R) \rightarrow R$ has a δ -Fourier expansion that is $\delta - p$ -symmetric and that is congruent mod p to

$$\sum_{p \nmid n} \frac{a_n}{n} q^n - a_p \left(\sum a_m q^{mp} \right) \frac{q'}{q^p} + \left(\sum a_m q^{mp^2} \right) \left(\frac{q'}{q^p} \right)^p$$

Remark

Example 5: f^\sharp

Theorem (B: JNT 2008, B+Poonen, Compostio 2009)

If $f = \sum a_n q^n$ is a new form of weight 2 over \mathbb{Z} then the form $f^\sharp \in M^2(0)$ obtained by composing the Eichler-Shimura map $\Phi : X_1(N)(R) \rightarrow A_f(R)$ with the homomorphism $\psi : A_f(R) \rightarrow R$ has a δ -Fourier expansion that is $\delta - p$ -symmetric and that is congruent mod p to

$$\sum_{p \nmid n} \frac{a_n}{n} q^n - a_p \left(\sum a_m q^{mp} \right) \frac{q'}{q^p} + \left(\sum a_m q^{mp^2} \right) \left(\frac{q'}{q^p} \right)^p$$

Remark

$\sum n^{p-2} a_n q^n$ has a remarkable lift to characteristic zero as a p -adic modular form a la Serre, $\sum \frac{a_n}{n} q^n$; this should be viewed as a wrong lift while f^\sharp above should be viewed as the “correct” lift because it has more symmetry “with respect to Heegner points”

Example 6: f^0

Example 6: f^0

f^0 is not a δ -modular form but rather an “Igusa δ -modular form. It has weight 1 and $\phi(f^0)/f^0 = f^\partial$

Example 6: f^0

f^0 is not a δ -modular form but rather an “Igusa δ -modular form. It has weight 1 and $\phi(f^0)/f^0 = f^\partial$

f^0 should be viewed as the “correct” lift to characteristic zero of Serre’s weight one modular form mod p on the Igusa curve a ; we skip this discussion; cf. B+Saha, JNT 2012

In search of a unifying theory

In search of a unifying theory

We saw algebro-geometric objects $\overline{\Sigma}/k$

In search of a unifying theory

We saw algebro-geometric objects $\bar{\Sigma}/k$

having “wrong” lifts Σ/R in algebraic geometry

In search of a unifying theory

We saw algebro-geometric objects $\bar{\Sigma}/k$
having “wrong” lifts Σ/R in algebraic geometry
but having “correct” lifts Σ_δ/R in δ -geometry

In search of a unifying theory

We saw algebro-geometric objects $\bar{\Sigma}/k$

having “wrong” lifts Σ/R in algebraic geometry

but having “correct” lifts Σ_{δ}/R in δ -geometry

What makes a lift “correct” versus “wrong” are certain extra “ δ -symmetries” such as:

In search of a unifying theory

We saw algebro-geometric objects $\overline{\Sigma}/k$

having “wrong” lifts Σ/R in algebraic geometry

but having “correct” lifts Σ_δ/R in δ -geometry

What makes a lift “correct” versus “wrong” are certain extra “ δ -symmetries” such as:

- big δ -Galois group

In search of a unifying theory

We saw algebro-geometric objects $\overline{\Sigma}/k$

having “wrong” lifts Σ/R in algebraic geometry

but having “correct” lifts Σ_δ/R in δ -geometry

What makes a lift “correct” versus “wrong” are certain extra “ δ -symmetries” such as:

- big δ -Galois group
- homomorphism property

In search of a unifying theory

We saw algebro-geometric objects $\overline{\Sigma}/k$

having “wrong” lifts Σ/R in algebraic geometry

but having “correct” lifts Σ_δ/R in δ -geometry

What makes a lift “correct” versus “wrong” are certain extra “ δ -symmetries” such as:

- big δ -Galois group
- homomorphism property
- $\delta - p$ -symmetry of series expansion

In search of a unifying theory

We saw algebro-geometric objects $\bar{\Sigma}/k$

having “wrong” lifts Σ/R in algebraic geometry

but having “correct” lifts Σ_δ/R in δ -geometry

What makes a lift “correct” versus “wrong” are certain extra “ δ -symmetries” such as:

- big δ -Galois group
- homomorphism property
- $\delta - p$ -symmetry of series expansion
- isogeny covariance, etc.

there is evidence of connections between the above manifestations of δ -symmetry which may indicate the presence of a common concept

In search of a unifying theory

We saw algebro-geometric objects $\bar{\Sigma}/k$

having “wrong” lifts Σ/R in algebraic geometry

but having “correct” lifts Σ_δ/R in δ -geometry

What makes a lift “correct” versus “wrong” are certain extra “ δ -symmetries” such as:

- big δ -Galois group
- homomorphism property
- $\delta - p$ -symmetry of series expansion
- isogeny covariance, etc.

there is evidence of connections between the above manifestations of δ -symmetry which may indicate the presence of a common concept

There is evidence that Σ_δ/k could be central fibers for deformations in a (yet to be developed) δ -arithmetic deformation theory